

KAYSVILLE CITY COUNCIL

March 4, 2021

Minutes of a regular Kaysville City Council meeting held on March 4, 2021 at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers in Kaysville City Hall at 23 East Center Street, Kaysville, UT.

Council Members present: Mayor Katie Witt, Council Member John Swan Adams, Council Member Barber, Council Member Mike Blackham, Council Member Andre Lortz and Council Member Tamara Tran

Others Present: City Manager Shayne Scott, City Attorney Nic Mills, City Recorder Annemarie Plaizier, Information Technology Assistant Jordan Hansen, Community Development Director Lyle Gibson, Fire Chief Paul Erickson, Police Chief Sol Oberg, Carole Walker, Ramona Porter, Kalub Lewis, David Olson, Ryan Eckardt, Ryan Goran, Ly Tran, Justin Bennett, Sandra Bennett

OPENING

Council Member Tran opened the meeting with a thought and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

PRESENTATIONS AND AWARDS

FIRE DEPARTMENT REPORT

Fire Chief Paul Erickson presented the report of the Kaysville Fire Department from the 2020 year. Chief Erickson explained that because of COVID-19 they hadn't been able to hold a drill night, but plans to hold one in April. They had to cancel their annual Fire Department Open House in October but got some help in creating a fire prevention video which was sent out to all of the Kaysville elementary schools. The fire department is staffed with 16 full-time firefighters, 14 part-time firefighters and a part-time administrative assistant. They have ordered a 3rd ambulance to help cover medical calls, which should be coming in April. The number of calls were almost identical to 2019. The number of overlap responses was a little higher in 2020 because of the windstorm that occurred in the fall. The high density housing on Mutton Hollow has been working to get their smoke detectors replaced which will likely help with the number of false alarms that the fire department is called out on. Since the hiring of 6 more firefighters they have been able to cover our city a lot better. They again have failed to meet the standard response times because our city is so spread out. However, once they removed the non-emergent and mutual aid responses from the equation we are 71% compliant, which tells us a truer picture. In dealing with the pandemic, the fire station was closed to the public and they were unable to allow any tours of the station. Building inspections couldn't be conducted but they have since been able to catch up on the majority of them. Only 6 of our firefighters were infected by COVID-19, but zero response was degraded. The station also became a certified rapid testing site and has been a great success. The Cares Act funding helped us in many ways and we were able obtain some better equipment to help with patient care, but also protect our staff as well. Chief Erickson said that he is proud of what the department is doing in regards to all the training they have done. They hold 8 to 12 classes a month on their training plan, and they did a great job this last year despite all of the difficulties.

Mayor Witt said that after the windstorm and the earthquake the city received a lot of phone calls and emails from residents asking about the city's emergency response plan. Chief Erickson has been tasked with creating that along with the help of several other department heads.

Chief Erickson said that the plan is coming along well and should be completed soon. This is not a plan that will be long and condense, but rather it gives a broad plan and direction with the expectation that the department heads or other leaders will have their individual checklists. This plan will have resources, mutual aid agreements, and other things that will help each department as a reference. Chief Erickson said that he hopes that it will be ready soon so he can present it to the Council at their meeting on April 15th.

Mayor Witt said that she is grateful for Chief Erickson's leadership, and thanked the fire department for all they do for the city.

DECLARATION OF ANY CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

No conflicts were disclosed.

CONSENT ITEMS

Council Member Lortz made a motion to accept the following consent items:

- a. Approval of Minutes of February 4, 2021.

The motion was seconded by Council Member Barber.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Barber, yea
Council Member Adams, yea
Council Member Tran, yea
Council Member Lortz, yea
Council Member Blackham, yea

The motion passed unanimously.

ACTION ITEM

INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH DAVIS COUNTY FOR PARAMEDIC SERVICES.

City Manager Shayne Scott explained that this Interlocal Agreement is between Kaysville, Davis County and Davis County cities. The agreement outlines the timing and steps involved in transitioning paramedic services from under Davis County control to the control of other cities. Each city has been organized into a group by geographical areas and other factors. Kaysville will be grouped with Farmington and Fruit Heights. City Manager Shayne Scott and Chief Erickson has attended many meetings regarding this transition over the course of the past 2 years, and they believe this agreement is ready to be adopted by Davis County and each city in the county. This agreement is a crucial step as we move forward on providing this important service in our city and County. There will be a lot of complexities in the future when we address transferring taxes from

the county to the city and we will need to determine the timing in order to fund the paramedic services.

Council Member Blackham commented that we will need to hire additional people to cover the paramedic positions, which is going to cost additional money and we will need to decide soon where the financing will come from. Council Member Blackham added that he is glad that the city will be holding a Truth in Taxation this year to help address and explain how these taxes will be transferred over.

Mayor Witt said that more details are continually coming forward and the council will be able to address this further at the council budget retreat.

Shayne Scott said that this agreement is the first step in figuring how this program will work. The paramedic program has almost always been underfunded while it's been provided by the county. We will have to determine if this is a tax neutral change in FY 2022 or if we raise taxes to the level we will need in order to cover cost to provide paramedic services.

Council Member Lortz added that he had spoken with the County to discuss the finances of the paramedic program. Through property taxes they have been collecting the finances to pay for paramedic services. They plan to remove that from the county's assessment this year and we will need to raise our taxes in order to collect that now that we will be taking over the paramedic program. However, we won't have the changeover in staffing until next year. Council Member Lortz asked how many paramedics we will need to hire to cover our area.

Chief Erickson responded that he feels that we will likely need to hire 6 paramedics in order to cover shifts. Chief Erickson added that when Station 62 opens we will likely need to hire more firefighters as well.

Council Member Lortz commented that this program will need a substantial amount of money in order to be funded and provide the safety services needed for our area. This is going to change the tax rates in our city and people need to have some education on why their rates will be going up and what the funds are being used for. If we raise our taxes this year we will have the funds we will need to cover costs when this program is shifted to the city next year. The amount the county has been charging through taxes will help to offset the cost but won't cover them fully. This agreement stipulates that we will be fully staffed with the paramedics by the end of 2022. The county will continue to provide services until that time.

Council Member Barber stated that this is going to be a big change to the city and the county, but we have a lot of time to create a public relations campaign to help get the word out to our citizens. This is an essential change and we need to let our residents know what to anticipate. It's our responsibility to spread the word and we need to have as many public engagement opportunities as we can.

Chief Erickson responded that the County has also said they would be willing to help with public engagement.

Shayne Scott added that because this is going to affect the whole County it is something we can do as a coordinated effort.

Council Member Barber stated that the County will also be taking over animal control services as well, which will also affect taxes. We need to make sure we are very clear with what will be going on in our city and county.

Council Member Adams asked about a second fire station.

Chief Erickson responded that there are plans to build a second station on the west side of the city so we can cover the city better. Both stations would need to be manned but we will be able to spread the manpower we already have to both stations. We will need to do an assessment to determine what the needs are for both areas, including manpower and equipment.

Council Member Adams made a motion to approve an Interlocal Agreement with Davis County for Paramedic Services, seconded by Council Member Tran.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Adams, yea
Council Member Tran, yea
Council Member Lortz, yea
Council Member Blackham, yea
Council Member Barber, yea

The motion passed unanimously.

PRELIMINARY PLAT APPROVAL FOR THE EDGE END HOLLOW 3 AMENDED SUBDIVISION AT 1175 SOUTH HAIGHT CREEK DRIVE – LOREN CLARK

Lyle Gibson explained that the property owner at 1175 South Haight Creek Drive is requesting preliminary plat approval for a standard 2-lot subdivision of his property which includes .409 acres of land. The preliminary plat contains 2 lots, both facing Haight Creek Drive with one corner lot on Haight Creek Drive and Main Street. Lot 1 is 9,785 square feet and has 89 feet of frontage and has an existing home on it which will remain. Lot 2 is 8,027 square feet with 78 feet of frontage along Haight Creek Drive. This lot will be available for new construction of a dwelling. Both lots meet frontage and square footage requirements for the existing R-1-8 zone. All right-of-way improvements are already complete along Haight Creek Drive and Main Street. The Planning Commission reviewed this request during their February 11, 2021 meeting and unanimously recommend approval.

Council Member Lortz made a motion to approve the preliminary plat for The Edge End Hollow 3 Amended Subdivision located at 1175 South Haight Creek Drive for Loren Clark, seconded by Council Member Barber.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Tran, yea
Council Member Lortz, yea
Council Member Blackham, yea

Council Member Barber, yea
Council Member Adams, yea

The motion passed unanimously.

WORK ITEMS

DISCUSSION ON HERITAGE SQUARE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

Lyle Gibson explained that the Heritage Square Townhome Development property is located at approximately 575 West Mutton Hollow Road and was approved for development in 2014. Since that time the project has been built as approved. The original concept was to have 7 total dwellings built as single family attached units, but due to concern with the number of units proposed the Council approved the zone and project but limited the number of units to a total of 4. The property owner and original developer is interested in reconsidering the potential to add additional units similar to the original concept. In order to allow for more units the development agreement would need to be amended, which does not require that the neighbors be noticed. However, Staff is interested in knowing if the Council wants to entertain this further and if so, what process would they like to follow.

Justin Bennett said that when this was originally brought before the Council in 2014 it seemed to be bad timing because 2 other higher density housing developments in this area had just been approved. Several of the neighbors voiced their concerns at the time. Since they have completed development, they feel that this project has had great success and there hasn't been any issues. It seems to have helped to improve the home prices in the area and it has been good for the neighborhood. The units here sit on their own lot and so they each can be separately owned. The vacant area on the south side of the units takes up about 55% of the development and has sat vacant since development. He has to pay taxes on it and it has become a weed lot. Mr. Bennett said that people would likely rather have this area developed than to remain vacant, and wants to build similar homes as what is already here. He has spoken with some of the neighbors on Stonne Lane and they still don't want to provide an access to his property from their road. At the time of approval he was only allowed to build 4 units and now is hoping he can do something with the rest of the property.

Council Member Barber said that there was a lot of development occurring during the time that Mr. Bennett had proposed this development and understands why the council made this decision at that time. Council Member Barber said that she wanted to be mindful of the neighbors and feels that they need to be aware of this proposed amendment to the development agreement. This is a nice area and has been a good development for the city. It would serve a nice purpose in the city because of the current housing shortage. Council Member Barber added that she would also like to hear from the Public Works department to make sure that adding additional units here won't create a problem.

Council Member Blackham said that in the development agreement it mentions 4 units being allowed on Parcel A and 4 units on Parcel B and asked about the location of these parcels.

Justin Bennett responded that Parcel A is the lot located just west of his development which was owned by his grandmother at the time of the original proposal. Both of the parcels were zoned for

commercial but they decided to apply for a rezone for both parcels. However, only Parcel B, Mr. Bennett's lot, was being proposed for development with the idea that Parcel A would be developed at a later date. Both parcels are still considered under the same development agreement and the current owner of Parcel A, Darryl Ernest, will have to agree to this amendment if the council approves it.

Council Member Blackham said that he remembered when this was proposed originally and there were many neighbors who had attended the Public Hearing for it. Council Member Blackham added that he would be open to considering an amendment but would like to give the neighbors the opportunity to speak about this proposal. Council Member Blackham asked if the developer would consider proposing 2 units rather than 3 in the vacant area.

Justin Bennett responded that he would prefer 3 for financial reasons. It's a good spot for these type of units and won't be adding to the traffic in the area.

Council Member Tran asked how many units could potentially be built here under the current zoning.

Lyle Gibson responded that the 7 units that was proposed originally was the maximum amount allowed for the size of this property.

Council Member Tran commented that she feels that the property owner should be allowed to do what they want with their property.

Council Member Adams said that he had lived in this area previously and knows many of the neighbors who still live here whom have told him that the townhomes have been good for the neighborhood. As a city council we are representing the residents of Kaysville. Most people don't pay attention to the council agendas unless it's something they've been notified of. This proposed amendment would make an impact and the neighbors should be informed of it and be given the chance to speak to it.

Mayor Witt commented that it's always good to have the developer speak with the neighbors. It's best to be as transparent about these changes as possible.

Council Member Lortz commented that the city is not required to notice a proposed amendment to a development agreement. However, because this would be creating a second phase to the development it would be appropriate to notice this proposal. Things have changed since this was originally approved and the neighbors might have a different opinion now.

Lyle Gibson said that the developer wanted to bring this before the council before creating a proposed plat to see if the council would even consider an amendment to the development agreement.

Council Member Blackham made a motion to move the Heritage Square Development Agreement to an action item.

Council Member Adams made a substitute motion to move the Heritage Square Development Agreement to an action item, to notify the neighbors of this proposal and to hold a public comment

session before review of the amendment in order to allow a time and place for neighbors to comment if they wish. The motion was seconded by Council Member Tran.

The vote on Council Member Adam's motion was as follows:

Council Member Tran, yea
Council Member Lortz, yea
Council Member Blackham, yea
Council Member Barber, yea
Council Member Adams, yea

The motion passed unanimously.

DISCUSSION ON PROPOSED PURCHASING POLICY ALTERATIONS

Nic Mills explained that the City Council recently noted that donating certain property might be better handled administratively. City Staff has reviewed the purchasing policy based on those observations and is proposing 3 alterations, along with some minor edits. First, it increases the authority of the City Manager to authorize purchases less than \$50,000. Second, it authorizes city Staff to purchase items, regardless of price, that have been approved as line items in the budgeting process. Finally, it sets forth a mirrored valuation structure for city Staff to follow when disposing of city property.

Council Member Barber said that she is in favor of authorizing Staff to purchase items which have previously been approved as line items in the budgeting process because having them come back for a second council approval is redundant.

Council Member Lortz commented that the budget is set months ahead of time and hopes that Staff would use discretion when purchasing these line items. Just because it's in the budget doesn't mean it needs to be spent when it's not necessary. Every claim is shared with the Council for review already and each Council Member needs to make sure they are being engaged in reviewing those claims.

Council Member Tran commented that it is one of the Council's duties to review the budget and would prefer to continue to review proposed purchases over \$25,000 instead of raising the authorized purchase amount to \$50,000.

Council Member Blackham agreed with Council Member Tran's comments and feels that the Council reviewing these types of items helps them to be more in the know of what is occurring in the city.

Council Member Barber said she would also like to see the authorized amount to remain at \$25,000.

Mayor Witt commented that years ago, every payment the city made was presented to Council during Council Meetings. We have gone to a more streamlined process now.

Council Member Tran made a motion to move the proposed Purchasing Policy alterations to an action item with the stipulation that the policy be changed to keep the authorized purchased at the authority of the City Manager to less than \$25,000. Council Member Adams seconded the motion and the voting was as follows:

Council Member Lortz, yea
Council Member Blackham, yea
Council Member Barber, yea
Council Member Adams, yea
Council Member Tran, yea

The motion passed unanimously.

DISCUSSION ON FRANCHISE AGREEMENT, DISCUSSION ON POLE ATTACHMENT AGREEMENT, DISCUSSION ON FIBER LEASE AGREEMENT, AND DISCUSSION ON FIBER CONDUIT RATES

Shayne Scott explained that the city Staff has been discussing some modifications to our existing Franchise Agreement. These discussions have led to proposing a new Franchise Agreement to be utilized with all interested parties that desire to operate a utility service in Kaysville using City rights-of-way and other City facilities. The city currently has a franchise agreement with only one provider and would proposed, once adopted, to ensure that all present and future utilities adopt the same agreement. City Staff has also been discussion the use of city poles with a specific phone/internet provider for several months now. These discussions have led to proposing a Pole Attachment Agreement to be utilized with all interested parties that desire to utilize city poles to serve area residences and businesses. Part of this process will also be proposing a pole attachment fee to be added to the Consolidated Fee Schedule that is adopted annually by the City Council.

Council Member Lortz suggested putting the pole attachment agreement, the franchise agreement, and the fiber lease agreement together so into one master agreement. The franchise agreement is the most important out of these agreements to be approved soon because if a franchisee where to come in to sign an agreement currently they would be signing the old one. We can't hold up someone because we are working on the franchise agreement.

Council Member Adams said that he would like to see the red-lined changes to the agreement and why they are being made.

Nic Mills responded that multiple versions of the franchise agreements have been created over the years and it's become outdated with different franchisees having different agreements. Approving this would give us a clean slate which would be the same for every franchisee.

Council Member Barber commented that this proposed agreement has been being reviewed for over a year by several people. They have done a lot of research on this and have tried to implement the best practices into this agreement. Council Member Barber asked about the application process.

Nic Mills responded that the application process and requirements is outlined in city ordinance.

Council Member Adams made a motion to move the approval of a Franchise Agreement to an action item contingent on the Council being given a copy of a red-lined franchise agreement. Council Member Lortz seconded the motion.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Blackham, nay
Council Member Barber, yea
Council Member Adams, yea
Council Member Tran, yea
Council Member Lortz, yea

The motion passed with a vote of four to one.

Shayne Scott said that organizations who wish to attach their facilities to our city poles will have to sign the Pole Attachment Agreement. The fee to do so will be reviewed by the Power Commission and presented to the Council later.

Council Member Lortz commented that he would like to remove the ability for a franchisee to install their own poles within the city, especially in neighborhoods where all of our utilities are underground. If they need to install a pole it could be done through a separate agreement.

Council Member Barber commented that she had spoken with the Power Department who expressed some concerns about this Pole Attachment Agreement. While we are creating this agreement to protect our Power Department and our utilities, we want to make sure that anyone attaching to our poles does so correctly and that they are fulfilling their commitments.

Council Member Lortz said that each franchisee would submit an application showing which poles they want to attach to and what area of the poles. The Power Department could ensure they are in compliance once they have attached to the pole, or the city could trust that the franchisee has attached correctly and if the city finds out later that they haven't then we'd fine them for non-compliance.

Nic Mills said that it's written in the agreement that the city has the right to remove equipment and fine the company for non-compliance.

Shayne Scott added that over time it seems that we have increased our pole count significantly within our city but some franchisees that are not claiming ownership of some of the poles.

Council Member Lortz added that there are many poles that were installed previously for telephone cables but are now being used for internet services. These poles are not being maintained or updated by the city because they've never been considered to be owned by the city. There needs to be some clarification made on who owns and is allowed to attach to these poles.

Council Member Barber made a motion to move the Pole Attachment agreement to an action item, seconded by Council Member Lortz.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Barber, yea
Council Member Adams, nay
Council Member Tran, nay
Council Member Lortz, yea
Council Member Blackham, yea

The motion passed with a vote of three to two.

Shayne Scott explained that the City installed a fiber ring in various locations throughout the city in 2017. The ring connected several power substations and other city facilities and provided fiber connectivity for automated reporting, monitoring, and other management of these facilities. At the time of installation, conduit and dark fiber were also installed for future city use as well as any other unknown future use that would benefit Kaysville City and residents in our city. An existing local company has recently approached City Staff and proposed to lease fiber from the City. Because this lease would benefit not only the city financially as well as potentially increase connectivity in the city for residents and businesses, Staff is proposing a Fiber Lease Agreement to be entered in with any public or private organization that can meet the expectations and obligations of the agreement.

Council Member Adams commented that it would be a good way to bring in revenue to the city.

Council Member Blackham said that in this agreement it states that the if the lessee meets a certain service level within 24 months then the city would refund a specified amount back to the lessee, but Council Member Blackham stated that he feels that any refund should go back to our residents. We need to assure that if the fiber ring goes down that we are not responsible for repairing it and maintaining it. Council Member Blackham said that this agreement feels as if the city is arbitrarily going into the fiber business, when our residents just voted against it. This agreement is also asking developers to install conduits for this, but that seems as if that should be a developer's decision. This agreement makes it seem that the city would have to maintain this fiber system and he's not comfortable with that. Council Member Blackham said that he doesn't feel that he has enough information about this item to make a decision tonight and he doesn't want to see the city getting into the fiber business.

Council Member Lortz commented that the city is already maintaining the fiber ring as part of normal city operations because we own and use the fiber ring for city operation.

Council Member Adams said that he also has a lot of questions about this proposal and asked how the city would decide who would be able to use the fiber ring. Would we be setting a precedence if we approved someone to use the fiber ring?

Nic Mills responded that the city would have a lot of control over who can use the fiber ring and would have to approve any application submitted to use the fiber ring because this is a city-owned capital improvement. Not just anyone could ask to be a lessee of the fiber ring. They would have to first have a signed franchise agreement in place with the city.

Council Member Adams asked why the city is considering this option at this point in time.

Council Member Lortz responded that after the fiber bond vote failed this was the next step to help bring a cost-effective way to provide these services as well as offer private enterprise. This helps us to try to facilitate the city's goal in improving connectivity within our city.

Council Member Tran commented that not all members of the council has been privy to conversations between potential interested lessees and stated that she would like more time to be able to discuss these conversations. This agreement feels like the city is presenting a fiber business agreement.

Council Member Lortz said that the citizens have been asking for better connectivity and this is what is driving this proposed idea. This would allow residents to benefit from something that the city has already installed and paid for. It doesn't matter who the interested lessees are. The idea that this fiber ring would help to save money is because it's already available and those lessees would be investing in the community. The lessee who has expressed interest would only be asking for 2 strands of the ring. The Sewer District has also asked if they could use it in order to help monitor their infrastructure.

Council Member Tran said that if this is considered a public and private partnership then it should be called so because it helps to clarify the city's objectives. We need to be upfront on what we are trying to accomplish with this.

Council Member Lortz responded that our objectives are listed in the recitals of the agreement.

Council Member Tran said that this still feels that the city would be going against what the citizens voted on.

Council Member Lortz responded that the citizens voted against the city using a bond to pay for fiber in the city. This would allow for better internet for some residents and businesses but would be paid for through private investors and not by the city.

Council Member Barber suggested adding language to the recitals to make it clearer that the city isn't financing any fiber project as part of this proposal. Council Member Barber also suggested making a separate agreement which would pertain to those who wish to lease from the fiber ring but will not be providing telecommunication services. The residents paid for this fiber ring and we need to allow them the opportunity to benefit from it. This will help to provide one way to help to solve the connectivity problems in Kaysville.

Council Member Adams asked about the chance of the city leasing all of the space available on the fiber ring.

Council Member Lortz responded the city will control how many of our fiber strands we will lease and whom we lease to. We have 48 fiber strands available and only 2 wired networks currently in the city. Most networks would only utilize 2 to 4 strands and so it's very unlikely that we will lease out all of our available fiber strands.

Council Member Adams asked about the time frame for the agreement.

Nic Mills responded that the fiber lease agreement is for 5 years, but with the franchise agreement we would propose 10 years.

Council Member Blackham asked about maintenance of the lines.

Council Member Lortz responded that there is language in the ordinance that states that the city isn't responsible if the network is damaged due to any of the lessee's actions.

Council Member Adams stated that he feels that the work that has gone into this agreement and the idea behind it is for the benefit of our citizen's. However, the city has been through many discussions regarding fiber in the city over the last couple of years and he would like more time to process and review this idea. We don't need to rush to approve this.

Council Member Blackham agreed with Council Member Adams and said he feels that the Council needs to be provided further information before a decision can be made.

Council Member Lortz made a motion to retain a decision the Fiber Lease Agreement and Fiber Conduit Rates to bring them back to Council again to further discuss these items. The motion was seconded by Council Member Adams.

The vote on the motion was as follows:

Council Member Adams, yea
Council Member Tran, yea
Council Member Lortz, yea
Council Member Blackham, yea
Council Member Barber, yea

The motion passed unanimously.

CALL TO THE PUBLIC

Nothing was brought under this item.

COUNCIL MEMBER REPORTS

Council Member Barber commented that the Parks and Recreation Department has been planning community events and recreational sports for the year. The city will be holding the Annual Easter Egg Hunt on April 3, 2021 at 9:00 a.m. at Barnes Park.

Council Member Adams commented that the Youth City Council held a mock council meeting earlier tonight and they are a great group of kids who are very poignant and professional.

Council Member Tran thanked the Police Department for their efforts with public outreach.

Council Member Lortz said that the Power Commission will be holding a meeting on April 6th at 7:00 p.m. where they will start to discuss the city's electric rates as well as pole attachment fees.

Council Member Blackham commented that he had been working with the Community Development Director on making some amendments to the PRUD ordinance. The Planning Commission will be reviewing the proposed amendments in an upcoming meeting.

Mayor Witt said announced that there are currently two open positions on the Power Commission and applications are being taken. Mayor Witt added that she is grateful that vaccinations continue to be made available for the public and that so many are taking advantage of it. This will help us to be able to provide more events in the future. Also, Council Member Tran has been working with Mayor Witt with the creation of an Interfaith Council and they will be reaching out to more ecclesiastical leaders in the near future.

ADJOURNMENT

Council Member Barber made a motion to adjourn the City Council meeting at 9:49 p.m., seconded by Council Member Adams and passed unanimously.